

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/815,670	03/23/2001	Hiroshi Yoshida	09792909-4825	5768	
26263 75	10/06/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	HEIN NATH & ROSE	LEUNG, QU	LEUNG, QUYEN PHAN		
P.O. BOX 0610 WACKER DRI	080 IVE STATION, SEARS 1	TOWER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL			2828		

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/815,670	YOSHIDA ET AL.	YOSHIDA ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Quyen P. Leung	2828		
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addr	ess	
THE REPLY FILED 23 September 2004 FAILS TO Therefore, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eithe condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of A Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11	to avoid abandonment of this er: (1) a timely filed amendment ppeal (with appeal fee); or (3)	application. A proper reply nt which places the applicat	to a tion in	
PERIOD FO	R REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of no event, however, will the statutory period for reply e ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a) fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the period of the control of the cont	f this Advisory Action, or (2) the date xpire later than SIX MONTHS from the WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH. The date on which the petition underiod of extension and the correspondate of the shortened statutory period of e Office later than three months after	ne mailing date of the final rejection IS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. For 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appro- fing amount of the fee. The appro- for reply originally set in the final C	on. See MPEP priate extension ppriate extension Office action: or	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>31 August 2004</u> 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37	4. Appellant's Brief must be fil CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dism	led within the period set fort	th in	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be enter-	•			
(a) They raise new issues that would require to	further consideration and/or se	earch (see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see N				
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicat issues for appeal; and/or	tion in better form for appeal b	y materially reducing or sim	nplifying the	
(d) they present additional claims without ca	nceling a corresponding numb	per of finally rejected claims	5.	
3. \square Applicant's reply has overcome the following r	ejection(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 1,5,9,13 amendment canceling the non-allowable claim	<u>and 30</u> would be allowable if son(s).	ubmitted in a separate, time	ely filed	
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because	st for reconsideration has beer e: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	n considered but does NOT	place the	
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	because it is not directed SO	LELY to issues which were	newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendr explanation of how the new or amended claim	ment(s) a)⊡ will not be entere ns would be rejected is provide	ed or b)⊠ will be entered ar ed below or appended.	nd an	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	ows:			
Claim(s) allowed: 1,5,9,13 and 30.				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: 25-29.				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	,			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a)	approved or b) disapprove	ed by the Examiner.		

Quyen P. Leung Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2828

10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claims 25-29 as proposed do not overcome the cited prior art of record, particularly JP 09-232680..

Continuation of 10. Other: Claims 25-29 as proposed do not overcome the cited prior art of record, particularly JP 09-232680 which clearly anticipates the claims..